

RECEIVED
14 MAY 2002

P49/10/2001

Kevin Lindeberg
11 Riley Drive
CAPALABA QLD 4157
13 May 2002

Your Excellency Major General Peter Arnison AO
Governor of Queensland
Government House
Fernberg Road
PADDINGTON QLD 4064

WCPCL 3 (e)

Date: 14.3.2013

Your Excellency

Exhibit number: 345

RE: THE LINDBERG PETITION

I refer to your letter of 7 May 2002.

Of necessity, I must make the following reply.

First, I note the fine point of distinction you make concerning what you acknowledged in respect of the information I put before you. I respectfully submit that your point has no weight because, *inter alia*, my information was unquestionably about the abuse of children and criminal paedophilia occurring in a State-run institution, and to not acknowledge this is, for me, to reasonably conclude that you did not read my material or you seek to avoid the obvious.

Second, you have an unqualified obligation and right to inform yourself about matters of State which come before you. However, this does not mean that you must personally "investigate" all such matters put before you as you mistakenly seem to think and persist that I wanted in this matter, known as the Heiner Affair. In order to inform yourself, you may seek advice from whomever you deem fit – and I accept that.

However, you are not the rubber stamp of Executive Government and nor should you be perceived as such in respect of all matters put before you, otherwise how could you carry out your duty to "encourage, advise, and warn" your Ministers of the Crown, and, when and if necessary, exercise your reserve powers.

Because of the circumstances surrounding this matter, I have respectfully suggested that advice on the *prima facie* substance of my allegations might be sought from His Honour Chief Justice Paul de Jersey of the Supreme Court of Queensland but you may, of course, take your own advice.

Third, in your letter of 7 May 2002, despite detailed evidence put before you, supported by opinion from eminent senior counsel, you have seen fit to claim that my allegations have been "...assessed and/or investigated by a wide range of agencies including the Premier, the Queensland Police Service, the Queensland Criminal Justice Commission, the Queensland Crime Commission, and a range of Government Departments" when the facts show otherwise.

I reiterate, by way of example, that when Queensland Premier the Hon Peter Beattie "assessed" the contents of my Petition knowing that it contained serious allegations against himself and others concerning obstruction of justice, interference with the right to a fair trial, abuse of office, misleading Parliament and covering up abuse of children in a State-run institution by means of destroying the evidence, he did so without any apparent concern that

he was adjudicating on matters in which he had a vested interest in the outcome. The law proscribes such conduct.


Fourth, by way of underpinning further the substance of my Petition's contents and the claim of a cover-up in respect of the offence of rape and criminal paedophilia against a 14-year-old female Aboriginal inmate while in the care and custody of the Crown at the John Oxley Youth Detention Centre, and the allegation that the right to a fair trial in Queensland is now in jeopardy by the Crown's hands if the Heiner document shredding stands in the face of the McCabe decision, I invite your attention to the following Internet site out of the School of Journalism and Communication, University of Queensland posted on 8 May 2002:

http://www.sjc.uq.au/about_journalism/staff/grundy.htm

You should go to "*Shreddergate* – Great is Truth and Mighty Above All Things."

In the light of this, I respectfully request that you reconsider my letter of 3 May 2002.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kevin Lindeberg', with a stylized flourish at the end.

KEVIN LINDEBERG.

13 May 2002